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Introduction
It is common for patients with PAD to have concomitant CAD because both are caused by atherosclerosis, a systemic process. This has been well established in international studies. The incidence of PAD in patients with known CAD in our population is unknown. The ankle – brachial index (ABI) can be calculated by taking the ratio of ankle systolic pressure and brachial systolic pressure. It is a simple, easy and cost effective bedside tool to diagnose peripheral arterial disease (PAD).

Objective: The objective of the study was to:

- Determine the incidence of PAD in patients with known coronary artery disease (CAD) in our population.
- Determine the diagnostic accuracy of ABI in diagnosing PAD in patients with CAD taking duplex ultrasound as gold standard in local population.

Study Design: Cross sectional study.
Setting: Department of Cardiology (CCU), Jinnah Hospital, Lahore.
Study Duration: Six months from 01 June 2014 to 31 December 2014.

Subjects and Methods: 310 patients who met the inclusion / exclusion criteria were entered in the study. Mercury sphygmomanometer was used to take the systolic blood pressure of all the four limbs, and the ratio of ankle systolic pressure (higher of systolic pressure taken in both left and right limb was taken) to brachial systolic pressure (higher of systolic pressure taken in both left and right limb was taken) was used to calculate the ABI. An abnormal ABI was considered if the ratio was < 0.9. All subjects underwent duplex ultrasound as a gold standard to detect the presence or absence of PAD.

Results: Out of 310 cases, common age was calculated as 59.21 ± 8.93 years, 53.23% (n = 165) were male
while 46.77% (n = 145) were female, frequency of peripheral artery disease (PAD) on gold standard was recorded as 28.71% (n = 89), diagnostic accuracy of Ankle-Brachial Index (ABI) in diagnosing peripheral artery disease (PAD) in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) was calculated as 93.25%, 94.21%, 86.46%, 97.20% and 93.87% as specificity, sensitivity, negative predictive value, positive predictive value and accuracy rate respectively.

Conclusion: There is a 28.7% incidence of PAD in patients with known CAD in our study population. The ABI is a simple, easy low cost and yet underutilized tool that can detect PAD with high diagnostic accuracy in this population.
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Introduction

PAD is a common pathology used to describe the limitation of blood flow, especially to the lower extremities, that is usually as a result of atherosclerotic disease and associated with substantial morbidity and mortality. Certain risk factors i.e., Diabetes Mellitus, smoking, hypertension and hypercholesterolemia are commonly present in patients who suffer from PAD. PAD is equally prevalent in men and women. It is common for patients with PAD to have concomitant a CAD and carotid artery disease. All patients with PAD should be considered for evaluation of coronary artery and carotid artery disease. PAD is associated with an increased incidence of multi vessel and obstructive CAD.

The ABI is calculated by dividing the highest systolic B.P in the ankle (among both right and left lower limb) to the highest systolic B.P of the brachial artery (among right and left upper limb). It is a simple, noninvasive tool with high specificity and sensitivity for the diagnosis of PAD.

The majority PAD patients have comitant CAD. A large burden of morbidity and mortality in patients with PAD is related to cardiovascular events such as myocardial infarction (MI), ischemic stroke, or cardiovascular death. Indeed, in a series of 1,000 coronary angiograms of patients who were under consideration for vascular surgery for abdominal aortic aneurysm (n = 263), carotid artery disease (n = 295), or PAD (n = 381) only 8% demonstrated normal coronary arteries. Also noteworthy is that patients with PAD but no clinical evidence of CAD have similar rate of death from cardiovascular causes as those with known CAD, which is consistent with the systemic nature of the disease.

Both the CAD as well as PAD may be found concomitantly in same patients and its prevalence was evaluated in two studies REACH registry and the AGATHA study in which it was found that 16–35% of patients who had ≥ 3 risk factors or had documented atherosclerotic disease also suffered from multivascular disease. Significant obstructive single vessel CAD has been reported in 60% of patients that had severe lower limb PAD that require surgery. DM, smoking, elevated B.P, elevated cholesterol level and elevated CRP constitute the common risk factors for atherosclerotic disease that are associated with PAD out of which smoking and diabetes have the strongest correlation (2 – 4 fold) with PAD.

When the concomitant existence of PAD and CAD is compared with their existence as separate disease it is found that all – cause mortality due to concomitant existence reaches up to 4.6% per year which is almost double as compared with either disease alone. In REACH registry it was found that the annual risk of all-cause mortality was 23.1% in the concomitant PAD and CAD but either of the disease alone had the risk of 13 – 17%. Results of the CASS registry showed that PAD was seen as more potent predictor of morbidity and mortality as compared to prior myocardial infarction or angina pectoris severity.

An ABI < 0.90 has excellent specificity (98%) and sensitivity (90%) for detecting a lower – extremity stenosis of greater than 50%. The American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) guide lines provide further details on use of ABI. Interpretation of different values of ABI is given below:

- 0.00 – 0.40: Severe PAD.
- 0.41 – 0.90: PAD present and it will cause claudication.
- 0.91 – 1.30: taken as Normal vessels.
- 1.30: Non compressible or severely calcified vessel.

Material and Methods

Study Design: Cross sectional study.

Setting: Department of Cardiology (CCU), Jinnah Hospital, Lahore.
**Study Duration:**
- Six months from: 01-07-2014 to 31-12-2014.

**Sample Size**
- 310 patients.

**Sampling Technique**
- Non probability, purposive sampling.

**Inclusion Criteria**
- Age (≥ 40 years).
- Male and Female.
- Angiographic evidence of significant CAD, i.e. > 50% stenosis.

**Exclusion Criteria**
- Already diagnosed cases of PAD.
- Patients with previous limb vascular procedures.

**Data Collection Procedure**
All 310 patients fulfilling the inclusion/exclusion criteria presenting to Department of Cardiology, Jinnah Hospital, Lahore were enrolled after informed consent. Measurement of systolic B.P of all the four limbs was done in all the patients that were included in the study. Mercury sphygmomanometer was used for this purpose. B.P was taken in a quiet room when the patient was in a supine position after the relaxation period of at least 5 min. Right as well as left arm and ankle systolic blood pressures were measured using a manually operated blood pressure with the cuff width, being at a minimum, 20% > than the diameter of the extremity. A cycle of measurements (right arm, right ankle, left ankle, left arm) were done. Doppler device with ultrasound gel was used to detect pulses. Finally, the ratio was taken between higher systolic B.P of the ankle and the higher systolic B.P of the brachial artery. This ratio was used for the calculation ABI. An ABI of < 0.9 was considered as abnormal and indicative of PAD. All subjects also underwent duplex ultrasound of lower extremities as a gold standard to detect the presence or absence of PAD. The criteria for significant PAD with 50 – 99% stenosis were monophasic flow, spectral broadening and peak systolic flow distal to proximal peak systolic flow ratio ≥ 2: 1.14 There were two cardiovascular physicians who were dedicated to doing these doppler studies and were blinded to ABI results.

**Data Analysis**
The data was entered in computer software SPSS version 11.0. Categorical variables e.g. gender and presence of PAD was described as frequency and percentages. Continuous variables e.g. age were described as mean ± S.D. A 2 × 2 table was drawn and diagnostic accuracy of ABI was calculated followed by calculating positive and negative predictive value, sensitivity as well as specificity taking duplex scan as diagnostic test.

**Results**
Age distribution of the patients was done which shows that 61.29% (n = 190) were between 41 – 60 years of age while 38.71% (n = 120) were between 61 – 80 years of age, mean ± sd was calculated as 59.21 ± 8.93 years (Table 1).

Patients were distributed according to gender sho-

**Table 1:** Age Distribution of the Patients (n = 310).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age(in Years)</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41 – 60</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>61.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 – 80</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>38.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD: 59.21 ± 8.93

**Table 2:** Gender Distribution (n = 310).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>53.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>46.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** Frequency of Peripheral Artery Disease (PAD) in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease (Cad) on Gold Standard (n = 310).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAD</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>28.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>71.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
wing that 53.23% (n = 165) were male while 46.77% (n = 145) were female (Table 2).

Frequency of peripheral artery disease (PAD) in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) on gold standard was recorded as 28.71% (n = 89) and 71.29% (n = 221) had no findings of PAD (Table 3).

Diagnostic accuracy of Ankle – Brachial Index (ABI) in diagnosing peripheral artery disease (PAD) in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) taking duplex ultrasound as gold standard was calculated as 93.25%, 94.21%, 86.46%, 97.20% and 93.87% as sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy rate respectively (Table 4).

Stratification for frequency of peripheral artery disease with regards to age is calculated and presented in (Table 5).

Stratification for frequency of peripheral artery disease with regards to age is calculated and presented in (Table 5).

Table 4: Diagnostic Accuracy of Ankle – Brachial Index (ABI) in Diagnosing Peripheral Artery Disease (PAD) in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) Taking Duplex Ultrasound As Gold Standard (n = 310).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABI</th>
<th>DUPLEX ULTRASOUND</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAD (Positive)</td>
<td>PAD (Negative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>True positive (a)</td>
<td>False positive (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83 (26.77%)</td>
<td>13 (4.19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>False negative (c)</td>
<td>True negative (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 (1.94%)</td>
<td>208 (67.09%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>a + c</td>
<td>b + d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Diagnostic Accuracy of Ankle – Brachial Index (ABI) in Diagnosing PAD in Patients with CAD with Regards to Age (Age: 41 – 60 years).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABI</th>
<th>DUPLEX ULTRASOUND</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>(True positive)</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>(False negative)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity = 94.44%, Specificity = 94.85%
Positive predictive value= 87.93%
Negative predictive value =97.73%

Age: 61 – 80 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABI</th>
<th>DUPLEX ULTRASOUND</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>(True positive)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>(False negative)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity = 91.43%, Specificity = 92.94%
Positive predictive value = 84.21%
Negative predictive value = 96.34%

Table 6: Diagnostic Accuracy of Ankle – Brachial Index (ABI) in Diagnosing Peripheral Artery Disease (PAD) in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) with Regards to Gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>DUPLEX ULTRASOUND</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>(True positive)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>(False negative)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity = 93.88%, Specificity = 96.55%, Positive predictive value = 92%, Negative predictive value = 97.93%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Female</th>
<th>DUPLEX ULTRASOUND</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>(True positive)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>(False negative)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity = 92.5%, Specificity = 91.43%
Positive predictive value = 80.43%
Negative predictive value =96.97%
disease with regards to gender is calculated and presented in (Table 6).

Discussion

Cardiovascular disease burden on our society is immense. It is the leading cause of death worldwide. PAD is considered as the risk factor for the coronary artery disease that can be diagnosed by simple and cost effective test like ABI. The ABI of ≤ 0.90 shows the existence PAD that affect the limb. The ABI is such a simple tool that it can detect PAD both in symptomatic as well as asymptomatic patients. Unfortunately, no study has been done on this topic locally, and there is variability regarding this international studies.

In our study, out of 310 cases, 61.29% (n = 190) were between 41 – 60 years of age while 38.71% (n = 120) were between 61 – 80 years of age, mean ± sd was calculated as 59.21 ± 8.93 years, 53.23% (n = 165) were male while 46.77% (n = 145) were female.

The prevalence of significant CAD in patients with severe PAD varies widely from 55% to 72% in published reports but vice versa is not true. The prevalence of newly revealed abnormal, asymptomatic ABI among patients who have significant CAD on coronary angiography was 16 – 17% in other studies.

The diagnostic accuracy of Ankle-Brachial Index (ABI) in diagnosis of PAD in patients with CAD taking duplex ultrasound as gold standard was calculated as 93.25%, 94.21%, 86.46%, 97.20% and 93.87% as sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy rate respectively.

The findings of our study are in contrast with the findings of M. Premanath and M. Raghunath who recorded sensitivity and specificity of ABI ≤ 0.90 for the diagnosis of PAD and the level of sensitivity (70.0%) and specificity (75.0%) was reported for an ABI ≤ 0.90 in detecting ≥ 50% stenosis. Another study by Dachun X and his colleagues in Chinese population and recorded a high level of sensitivity (79%) and specificity (99%) for an ABI ≤ 0.90 in detecting ≥ 50% stenosis, which is in accordance with our study.

Xu D and others reported that ankle brachial index (ABI) ≤ 0.90 could reliably identify patients with peripheral artery disease (PAD). More and more studies have been published since then and their meta-analysis has shown the diagnostic accuracy of ABI.

Since then, more studies have been published which may extend the power of a meta-analysis of studies of diagnostic accuracy of the ABI. For the diagnosis PAD angiography was compared with the ABI ≤ 0.9 and to find the sensitivity as well as the specificity of ABI, in different studies for which search was made on MEDLINE and several other databases. They concluded that in clinical practice ABI ≤ 0.90 can be taken as reference for the diagnosis of the peripheral arterial disease.

In another study was done by Guo X and colleagues. They wanted to evaluate the positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, sensitivity as well as specificity of ABI and used digital subtraction angiography (DSA) as gold standard for reference. They found that cutoff value of ABI for the diagnosis of PAD was 0.95 and its sensitivity was 91% and specificity was 86%. They said in their concluding remarks that measurement of ABI is a reliable as well as accurate tool that is noninvasive and is good alternative to conventional DSA. The cut-off value for diagnosis of PAD is 0.95.

Conclusion

There is a 28.7% incidence of PAD in patients with known CAD in our study population. The ABI is a simple, easy low cost and yet underutilized tool that can detect PAD with high diagnostic accuracy in this population.
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